# 2017-2018 Assessment Cycle UC\_Student Support Services

## **Mission (due 12/4/17)**

#### **University Mission**

The University of Louisiana at Lafayette offers an exceptional education informed by diverse worldviews grounded in tradition, heritage, and culture. We develop leaders and innovators who advance knowledge, cultivate aesthetic sensibility, and improve the human condition.

### **University Values**

We strive to create a community of leaders and innovators in an environment that fosters a desire to advance and disseminate knowledge. We support the mission of the university by actualizing our core values of equity, integrity, intellectual curiosity, creativity, tradition, transparency, respect, collaboration, pluralism, and sustainability.

### **University Vision**

We strive to be included in the top 25% of our peer institutions by 2020, improving our national and international status and recognition.

#### College / VP and Program / Department Mission

## Mission of College or VP-area

Provide the mission for the College or VP-area in the space provided. If none is available, write "None Available in 2017-2018."

MISSION – University College provides an academic environment to facilitate the matriculation of a diverse population of intellectually-capable undergraduate students: wishing to pursue a unique and coherent interdisciplinary program of study in an area in which there does not exist an established curriculum; who initially selected a major for which they lack the interest or preparation necessary for success, but possess a specific and attainable career development plan; or who are transitioning into other colleges and pursuing the academic requirements necessary for admission into their preferred major. In meeting the needs of these students, University College promotes retention at the University of Louisiana at Lafayette, collaborates with other colleges to elevate to the collective quality of campus learning, and enables and

#### **Mission of Program / Department**

Provide the program / department mission in the space provided. The mission statement should concisely define the purpose, functions, and key constituents. If none is available, write "None Available in 2017-2018." Help improve the retention and six year graduation rates of first generation, low income students, and students with disabilities who are participants in the Student Support Services (Regular) Program based on the required Annual Performance Report (APR).

#### **Attachment (optional)**

Upload any documents which support the program / department assessment process.

## **Assessment Plan (due 12/4/17)**

Assessment Plan (Goals / Objectives, Assessment Measures and Criteria for Success)

#### Assessment List

Goal/Objective	Participants in the SSS-Regular project will persist from one academic year to the beginning of
	the next academic year or graduate for 2016-2017 academic year.

OO - Outcome/Objective (administrative units);						
Identifier Description						
Student SI.Student SI 1  Recruit, retain, and graduate outstanding students (undergraduate and graduate; traditional and nontraditional; transfer and returning adults).						
Student SI.Student SI 1.KPI 1  Implement and sustain student support to retain and graduate students.						
Student SI.Student SI 1.KPI 4	Improve student success through engagement in high impact practices.					
Assessment Measure	Criterion	Attachments				
Direct - Direct - APR (Other)	At least 58% of SSS-Regular participants will persist from one academic year to the beginning of the next academic year. Due to the lack of availability of data, for this reporting cycle I will measure Fall 2016 to Fall 2017.					
	Identifier Student SI.Student SI 1  Student SI.Student SI 1.KPI 1  Student SI.Student SI 1.KPI 4  Assessment Measure Direct - Direct -	Identifier   Description				

Goal/Objective	A percentage of all enrolled SSS Regular participants being served will meet the performance level required to stay in good academic standing at the grantee institution.					
Legends	OO - Outcome/Obje	ctive (administrative units);				
Standards/Outcomes						
	Identifier Description					
	Student SI.Student SI 1	Recruit, retain, and graduate outstanding students (undergraduate and graduate; traditional and nontraditional; transfer and returning adults).				
	Student SI.Student SI 1.KPI 1	Implement and sustain student support to retain and graduate students.				
	Student SI.Student SI 1.KPI 4	Improve student success through engagement in high impact practices.				
Assessment Measures	Assessment	Criterion	Attachments			
	Measure	Onterion	Attacimients			

Direct - Annual Performance Report (APR) (Other)	No less than 75% of all enrolled SSS Regular participants being served will meet the performance level required to stay in good academic standing at the grantee institution. Due to the unavailability of data, 2016-2017 will be used for this measure.	

Goal/Objective	A percentage of SSS Regular new participants served each year will graduate from the grantee institution with a bachelor's degree or equivalent within six (6) years.							
Legends	OO - Outcome/Obje	ctive (administrative units);						
Standards/Outcomes								
	Identifier	Description						
	Student SI.Student SI 1	restain, and graduate satisfaining stadents (and graduate						
	Student SI.Student SI 1.KPI 1	Student SI students.						
	Student SI.Student SI 1.KPI 4	Improve student success through engagement in high impact practices.						
Assessment Measures								
Weddies	Assessment Measure	Criterion	Attachments					
	Direct - Annual Performance Report (APR) (Other)	No less than 32% of SSS Regular new participants served each year will graduate from the grantee institution with a bachelor's degree or equivalent within six (6) years. Due to data unavailability, 2016-2017 data will be used for this measure.						

## **Program / Department Assessment Narrative**

The primary purpose of assessment is to use data to inform decisions and improve programs (student learning) and departments (operations); this is an on-going process of defining goals and expectations, collecting results, analyzing data, comparing current and past results and initiatives, and making decisions based on these reflections. In the space below, describe the program's or department's overall plan for improving student learning and/or operations (the "assessment plan"). Consider the following:

- 1) What strategies exist to assess the outcomes?
- 2) What does the program/department expect to achieve with the goals and objectives identified above?
- 3) How might prior or current initiatives (improvements) influence the anticipated outcomes this year?
- 4) What is the plan for using data to improve student learning and/or operations?

#### 5) How will data be shared within the Program/Department (and, where appropriate, the College/VP-area)?

#### **Assessment Process**

As a College that offers a wide range of academic support programming to achieve student success, our collective assessment is based on the Council for Advancement of Standards in Higher Education (known as CAS). Our overall strategy primarily involves around student performance and feedback data that is analyzed using both formative and summative evaluation processes. The main goal is to improve our service delivery protocols that impact student performance through dynamic engagement and feedback from administrators, support staff, student employees, campus partners and students enrolled in our varied University College programs: On-campus and Off-campus Trio Programs, Bachelor of General Studies (BGS) Curriculum (campus based and online students), and the UL Lafayette Honors Program.

Guided by specific and measurable annual objectives, each subdivision of the College is expected to use student performance data to assess the extent to which each program has met its unique goals, targets, and objectives that independently and collectively impact student retention and graduation. Five principles guide our Division's collection and use of data:

- 1. The Division's leadership fosters a culture where team members are not afraid of data. Rather the culture is designed to encourage honest assessments to be used to improve student outcomes and not to penalize staff efforts or shortcomings.
- 2. The Division stresses the use of a variety of sources to validate data and to show patterns of improvement or need for improvement that shape new or modified service delivery.
- 3. The Division encourages using data to identify gaps in student learning. Using data from multiple sources is helpful in identifying hidden performance gaps and support opportunities. The University's retention and graduation rates are key benchmarks for measuring the Division's contributions and/or opportunities for improvement.
- 4. The Division encourages extensive dialogue among all team members to reflect upon improvement opportunities and achievements. For example, regarding Off and On-Campus Trio programs, continuity of services is monitored to leverage limited resources that impact maximum student outcomes. Other examples of Inter-program partnerships are hiring former Trio Participants and Honor students as Peer-tutors and student employees and connecting BGS majors to Trio services are key in leveraging student performance outcomes.
- 5. The Division encourages the use of data that is not removed from the day-to-day functions of supporting students. Monitoring the daily use of services--from tutoring to advising to Honor's Learning Communities participation—staff are required to use multiple data sources daily as building blocks to make adjustments as needed throughout the assessment cycle to improve services and student performance.

Data are shared with individual team members, College wide and University wide partners and stakeholders periodically throughout the assessment cycle and annually to prepare for the new cycle and for establishing new targets. Ultimately, assessment data are used by the University College Dean who consults with the Vice President of Academic Affairs on at least a quarterly basis to measure the College's contributions to the University's mission.

## Results & Improvements (due 9/15/18)

## **Results and Improvement Narratives**

Assessment List Findings for the Assessment Measure level for Participants in the SSS-Regular project will persist from one academic year to the beginning of the next academic year or graduate for 2016-2017 academic year.

Goal/Objective	Participants in the SSS-Regular project will persist from one academic year to the beginning of the next academic year or graduate for 2016-2017 academic year.				
Legends	OO - Outcome/Objective (administrative units);				
Standards/Outcomes					
	Identifier	Description			
	Student SI.Student SI 1	Recruit, retain, and graduate outstanding students (undergraduate and graduate; traditional and nontraditional; transfer and returning adults).			

	Student SI.Student SI 1.KPI 1	Implement ar students.	Implement and sustain student support to retain and graduate students.					
	Student SI.Student SI 1.KPI 4	Improve stud practices.	Improve student success through engagement in high impact practices.					
Assessment Measures								
	Assessment Measure	Criterion						
	Direct - Direct APR (Other)	year to the beg	At least 58% of SSS-Regular participants will persist from one academic year to the beginning of the next academic year. Due to the lack of availability of data, for this reporting cycle I will measure Fall 2016 to Fall 2017.					
Assessment Findings	Assessment Measure	Criterion	Summary	Attachments of the Assessments	Improvement Narratives			
	Direct - Direct - APR (Other)	Has the criterion At least 58% of SSS-Regular participants will persist from one academic year to the beginning of the next academic year. Due to the lack of availability of data, for this reporting cycle I will measure Fall 2016 to Fall 2017. been met yet? Met	This objective was met with 92% of program participants persisting from Fall 2016 to Fall 2017.		- Assessment Process: Continuous monitoring: SSS- Regular will continue to monitor students and address the typical reasons for drop out/stop out which are financial issues, transfer, academic suspension, etc. We will continue to give students information and resources to address these issues			

Assessment List Findings for the Assessment Measure level for A percentage of all enrolled SSS Regular participants being served will meet the performance level required to stay in good academic standing at the grantee institution.

Goal/Objective	A percentage of all enrolled SSS Regular participants being served will meet the performance level required to stay in good academic standing at the grantee institution.
Legends	OO - Outcome/Objective (administrative units);
Standards/Outcomes	

	Identifier	I	Description				
	Student SI.Student SI		Recruit, retain, and graduate outstanding students (undergraduate and graduate; traditional and nontraditional; transfer and returning adults).  Implement and sustain student support to retain and graduate students.				
	Student SI.Student SI 1.KPI 1						
	Student SI.Student SI 1.KPI 4		mprove stud	ent success throu	gh engagement ir	n high impact	
Assessment Measures							
	Assessment Measure		Criterion				
	Direct - Annual Performance R (APR) (Other)		No less than 75% of all enrolled SSS Regular participants being served will meet the performance level required to stay in good academic standing at the grantee institution. Due to the unavailability of data, 2016-2017 will be used for this measure.				
Assessment Findings	Assessment Measure	Criteri	ion	Summary	Attachments of the Assessments	Improvement Narratives	
	Direct - Annual Performance Report (APR) (Other)	No less of all es SSS R participal served the period level restay in acade standing grante institut the un of data 2017 version for this	mic ng at the	This objective was met with 95.75% of program participants meeting the performance level required to stay in good standing at UL Lafayette.		- Student/Faculty Support (for Educational Programs): SSS- Regular will continue to support students by encouraging the tutorial philosophy of engaging in tutoring services for not only "getting out of a class" but to use tutoring to make a better grade and encourage true learning.	

Assessment List Findings for the Assessment Measure level for A percentage of SSS Regular new participants served each year will graduate from the grantee institution with a bachelor's degree or equivalent within six (6) years.

Goal/Objective	A percentage of SSS Regular new participants served each year will graduate from the grantee institution with a bachelor's degree or equivalent within six (6) years.							
Legends	OO - Outcome/0	Objectiv	e (administrat	ive units);				
Standards/Outcomes								
	Identifier		Description					
	Student SI.Student SI		Recruit, retain, and graduate outstanding students (undergraduate and graduate; traditional and nontraditional; transfer and returning adults).					
	Student SI.Student SI 1.KPI 1		Implement an students.	d sustain studen	t support to retain	and graduate		
	Student SI.Student SI  1.KPI 4  Improve student success through engagement in high impact practices.					in high impact		
Assessment Measures								
	Assessment Measure		Criterion					
	Direct - Annual Performance R (APR) (Other)		No less than 32% of SSS Regular new participants served each year will graduate from the grantee institution with a bachelor's degree or equivalent within six (6) years. Due to data unavailability, 2016-2017 data will be used for this measure.					
Assessment Findings								
	Assessment Measure	Criter	ion	Summary	Attachments of the Assessments	Improvement Narratives		
	Direct - Annual Performance Report (APR) (Other)	No less of SS: new p server will gr the gr institu bache or equ within years unava 2016-will be this m	ne criterion ss than 32% S Regular participants d each year aduate from antee tion with a elor's degree uivalent six (6) . Due to data ailability, 2017 data e used for neasure. met yet?	This objective was met with 59% of program participants graduating with a bachelor's degree from UL Lafayette within six years.		- Assessment Process: Continuous monitoring: Reports from Cognos will yield the data to confirm the cohort percentage for students graduating within six years. SSS- Regular will continue to monitor students who are upperclassmen and give them the information and resources needed for graduation, but to discuss employment, resumes, interviewing		

		information on graduate schools.

## Reflection (Due 9/15/18)

#### Reflection

The primary purpose of assessment is to use data to inform decisions and improve programs and operations; this is an on-going process of defining goals and expectations, collecting results, analyzing data, comparing current and past results and initiatives, and making decisions based on these reflections. Recalling this purpose, respond to the questions below.

1) How were assessment results shared in the program / department?

Please select all that apply. If "other", please use the text box to elaborate.

Distributed via email

Presented formally at staff / department / committee meetings (selected)

Discussed informally (selected)

Other (explain in text box below)

Information is presented formally and informally and discussed as a group and individually.

#### 2) How frequently were assessment results shared?

Frequently (>4 times per cycle)
Periodically (2-4 times per cycle)
Once per cycle (selected)
Results were not shared this cycle

#### 3) With whom were assessment results shared?

Please select all that apply.

Department Head (selected)

Dean / Asst. or Assoc. Dean (selected)

Departmental assessment committee

Other faculty / staff

4) Consider the impact of prior applied changes. Specifically, compare current results to previous results to evaluate the impact of a previously reported change. Demonstrate how the use of results improved student learning and/or operations.

Creating Moodle pages for SSS-Reg has allowed our unit to stay in touch with students more easily. The Moodle page has also allowed students to easily access workshops and information thus providing an easier mean of student participation.

5) Over the past three assessment cycles, what has been the overall impact of "closing the loop"? Provide examples of improvements in student learning, program quality, or department operations that are directly linked

### to assessment data and follow-up analysis.

Assessing the amount of student participation in workshops, we were able to see an overall increase in workshop participation since we uploaded workshops onto the SSS Moodle page. We have also implemented texting as a major part of outreach to students as we have evaluated that email does not reach students as well as texting students. We will continue to utilize these methods to engage students. We will continue to encourage staff to use these methods of communication and delivery of services.

### **Attachments (optional)**

Upload any documents which support the program / department assessment process.